MERCURY IN MONTANA WILDLIFE

October, 1970

We have just completed reviewing the results from our 12-month testing for mercury residues in upland game birds and fish in Montana. Hungarian partridge collected in the north-central region was our primary test species, although pheasants and sharp-tailed grouse were also sampled. Many species of fish were sampled. Attention was focused on the mercury level in breast tissue in upland game birds and the fish were tested on an edible portion basis.

Upland bird testing began in early October, 1969, and at no time during the entire 12 months did mercury completely disappear (Table 1). Residues in tissue following fall, 1969 seeding of winter wheat were noticeably higher than levels permissible for human consumption at that time (0.05 ppm). Mercury levels increased during the winter months but dropped sharply prior to spring 1970 grain seeding. A second increase in levels was noted following spring seeding. There was a decline from July to August and only a slight increase in September. Few farmers in the test area were seeding winter wheat at the time of the September, 1970 collection. A crop taken from a hunter-shot bird in Teton County on September 12, however, contained a half-dozen mercury-treated wheat seeds.

Soil samples were tested from fallow, seeded, stubble hay and idle areas at the various collection sites in May. Thirty-four (87%) of the 39 samples showed mercury levels <0.10 ppm. Assuming soil to be the "background" level, 67% of the partridge exceeded this level (0.10 ppm) (Table 2). Grain (apparently the chief source of mercury for partridge) collected from fields at the same time showed 0.10, 0.09, 0.09, <0.05 and <0.05 ppm for five samples. Two of these five exceeded "background" levels for the area in which they were collected.

Partridge eggs from collected females and nests were also tested. The shells and contents were tested separately; all contained mercury. Egg contents ranged $\langle 0.10 - 0.17 \text{ ppm} \text{ compared to } \langle 0.10 \text{ ppm} \text{ for all shells.}$ Thus the newly hatched young carry mercury at or above "background" levels.

Restating the results of our Fall, 1969 pheasant testing, the birds ranged < 0.05 - 0.47 ppm in breast tissue. The average for 15 birds was 0.17 ppm.</pre>
Regionally the results were as follows:

| Average | Range |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| 0.22 ppm | < 0.05 - 0.47 ppm |
| 0.19 | 0.09 - 0.38 |
| 0.10 | (0.05 - 0.31 |
| | 0.22 ppm 0.19 |

In early August, 1970, we collected 15 sharp-tailed grouse: five each from three regions. The results were:

| Region | Average | . Range | |
|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|---|
| North-central | 0.08 ppm | (0.05 - 0.14 ppm | |
| South-central | 0.05 | (0.05 - 0.08 | |
| Southeast | < 0.05 | (0.05 (All 5 samples |) |

Hungarian partridge tested from this same collection period showed the following:

| Region | Average | Range |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| North-central | 0.07 ppm | 0.06 - 0.09 ppm |
| South-central | 0.07 | (0.05 - 0.11 |
| Southeast (1 bird) | < 0.05 | • |

In summing up test results, Hungarian partridge, a by-product of Montana's small-grain growing region, contain mercury in their breast tissue throughout the year. The highest levels have been found after the hunting season closes; mercury apparently accumulates faster than it is purged, through the hunting season, to attain these levels. Mercury is passed from parents to chicks so all age classes contain mercury.

The pheasant, another by-product of grain growing areas, exhibited higher mercury levels than Hungarian partridge last fall. Presumably the fluctuation in mercury in pheasants follow those of partridge but on a higher plane.

Sharp-tailed grouse, an inhabitant of grasslands and overlapping into grain-producing areas, showed mercury at, or below, those in partridge.

Table 1. Mercury residue averages and ranges in Hungarian partridge breast tissue, October, 1969 - September, 1970.

| Month | No. Birds | Mercury Average (ppm) | Mercury . Range (ppm) |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1969 | | | |
| October | 5 | 0.19 | 0 07 0 20 |
| November | 5 | 0.19 | 0.07 - 0.30 < 0.05 - 0.20 |
| December | 5 | 0.35 | (0.05 - 0.50 |
| <u>1970</u> | | T) | 2 0 |
| January | 5 | 0.26 | 4 0.05 - 0.48 |
| February | 5 | 0.39 | 0.31 - 0.53 |
| March | 5 | 0.24 | 0.16 - 0.33 |
| April | 4 | 0.04 | 4 0.05 - 0.09 |
| May | 4 | 0.04 | < 0.05 - 0.06 |
| June | 5 | 0.12 | 0.06 - 0.22 |
| July | 4 | 0.25 | 0.20 - 0.36 |
| August | 7 | 0.14 | 0.07 - 0.22 |
| September | 9 | 0.11 | (0.05 - 0.34 |

Table 2. Summary of mercury residues in Hungarian partridge breast tissue in north-central Montana, October, 1969 - September, 1970.

| Mercury (ppm) | | No. Birds | | % of Total Birds | |
|---------------|-----|-----------|-------|------------------|--|
| (0.05 | | 9 | • | 14.3 | |
| 0.05 - 0.10 | 1 a | 12 | 130.1 | 19.0 | |
| 0.11 - 0.20 | | 18 | | 28.6 | |
| 0.21 - 0.30 | | 6 | 37 | 9.5 | |
| 0.31 - 0.40 | | 12 | | 19.0 | |
| 0.41 - 0.50 | | 5 | | 7.9 | |
| >0.50 | | 1 | | 1.6 | |
| Totals | 9* | 63 | | 99.9 | |

The first fish samples were taken for analysis in October of 1969. Since pulp mills were known to be sources of mercury contamination in other places, fish were collected from above and below Montana's only mill. While all the fish collected contained some mercury, none exceeded the Food and Drug Administration's interim tolerance level of 0.50 ppm. There was no apparent difference in samples taken from above or below the mill. Results of this sample are contained in table 3.

Table 3. Results of mercury analysis in the Clark Fork River near Missoula, October, 1969.

| Species | Location | | Mercury Concentration in parts per million | | | | |
|---------------|----------|------|--|----------|------------------|--|------|
| White Sucker | Below | pulp | mill | | | | 0.24 |
| White Sucker | 11 | 11 | 11 | | | | 0.28 |
| Whitefish | Ħ | 11 | t t | | | | 0.24 |
| Whitefish | l1 | 11 | F1 | | | | 0.23 |
| Brown Trout | 81 | 11 | 11 | | | | 0.18 |
| Rainbow Trout | 11 | 11 | • t | | \mathbf{a}^{i} | | 0.09 |
| Whitefish | Above | Harp | er Bridge | e & pulp | mill | | 0.23 |
| Whitefish | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 11 | 11 | | 0.23 |
| Whitefish | ू । | 11 | 11 | 11 11 | tt | | 0.17 |

In an attempt to locate the source of mercury in these fish another sample of fish was taken from widely scattered points in the drainage. The results of these tests did not isolate a probable source of the mercury in the drainage. These results are presented in table 4.

Table 4. Results of mercury analysis in the Clark Fork Drainage, January, 1970.

| Species | Location | Mercury Concentration |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Whitefish Whitefish Whitefish | Clark Fork R., Tavener Bridge above Garrison | 0.27 less than 0.05 less than 0.05 |
| Whitefish Whitefish Whitefish | Blackfoot R., Scotty Brown Bridge | 0.12 0.14 less than 0.05 |
| Whitefish Whitefish | Bitterroot River below Hamilton | 0.16 less than 0.05 |
| Whitefish Whitefish Whitefish | West Fork Bitterroot River | less than 0.05 less than 0.05 less than 0.05 |

During August of 1970 an extensive collection of fish from throughout Montana was made in cooperation with the Food and Drug Administration. Purpose of the collection was to analyze fish flesh for mercury. While most of the fish collected were found to be well within tolerances, one sample, taken from the Beaverhead River did show a high concentration of mercury in its flesh (Table 5).

Table 5. Results of mercury analysis in Montana fish, August, 1970.

| Species | Location | 11 _ | Mercury Concentration in parts per million |
|---------------|------------------------------|------|--|
| Goldeye | Gregg Menge, Ft. Peck | | .15 |
| 11 | A & C Fish Co., Ft. Peck | | .12 |
| Buffalo | Ft. Peck | | .20 |
| Rainbow | Priest Lake | | Trace |
| N. Pike | Arod Lake | | .12 |
| Brown Trout | Stillwater River, White Bird | | .07 |
| Sauger | Morony Dam | | . 20 |
| Suckers | Otter Creek | | .10 |
| Walleye Pike | Nelson Reservoir | | .18 |
| Yellow Perch | Ft. Peck | | .12 |
| Brown Trout | Beaverhead River, Dillon | | 1.32* |
| N. Pike | Ft. Peck | | .32 |
| Walleye | Yellowtail | | .06 |
| Suckers | Deadman's Reservoir | | .12 |
| Brown Trout | Yellowtail | | :27 |
| Rainbow Trout | Yellowtail | | . • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| Trout | Yellowstone, Livingston | | .07 |
| Paddlefish | Yellowstone, Intake | | .06 |
| Suckers | Tongue River, Miles City | | .23 |
| Carp | Tongue River Reservoir | | .19 |
| Walleye | Tongue River Reservoir | | .10 |
| N. Pike | Tongue River Reservoir | ÷ | .23 |
| Sauger | Tongue River Reservoir | | .16 |

^{*}Represents reading above FDA recommended tolerance limit of 0.50 ppm.

In order to substantiate this analysis and perhaps isolate the source of mercury, an additional collection was made in the Beaverhead River and some of its tributaries. This particular sampling contained six collections that exceeded FDA tolerances. The results of these analysis are presented in table 6. The source is still not clearly indicated. It would appear, however, that the source of mercury in Montana streams is not related to agriculture as is suspected in the case of upland game birds, but rather to either a natural source or past mining operations.

Table 6. Results of mercury analysis in fish from the upper Beaverhead Drainage, September, 1970.

| | | Mercury | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Species | Location | Concentration | Corroborati |
| | | in ppm | Analysis |
| Uhitafi ah | Clark Canyon Pagamusin | 1.0 | |
| Whitefish | Clark Canyon Reservoir | .12 | |
| Rainbow Trout | Clark Canyon Reservoir | .18 | |
| Suckers | Clark Canyon Reservoir | .15 | |
| Ling | Clark Canyon Reservoir | .14 | |
| Whitefish | Beaverhead R., (Pipe Organ Section) | . 28 | |
| Brown Trout | Beaverhead R., (Pipe Organ Section) | .18 | |
| Suckers | Beaverhead R., (Pipe Organ Section) | .74* | 0.72* |
| Brown Trout | Beaverhead R., (Grasshopper Cr.) | .28 | |
| Whitefish | Beaverhead R., (Grasshopper Cr.) | .38 | |
| Suckers | Beaverhead R., Below Grasshopper Cr | . 57* | 0.54* |
| Suckers | Beaverhead R., (Grasshopper Cr.) | . 37 | |
| Whitefish | Beaverhead R., (Dillon Sewage | | |
| | Outfall) | . 54* | |
| Suckers | Beaverhead R., (Dillon Sewage | | |
| Ti . | Outfall) | .83* | .80* |
| Trout | Beaverhead R., (Dillon Sewage | | |
| | Outfall) | .58* | 0.54* |
| Rainbow Trout | Rattlesnake Creek | .08 | |
| Brown Trout | Grasshopper Creek | .83* | 0.78* |

^{*}Represents reading above FDA recommended tolerance limit of 0.50 ppm.

Fish from two locations taken for the August collection were also sampled for other pesticide residues. These fish taken from Priest Lake (rainbow trout) and Nelson Reservoir (walleye) were sampled for chlorinated hydrocarbon and organophosphate pesticide residues. The results of these analysis indicated the fish were not contaminated according to Food and Drug Administration standards.